



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,598	10/31/2003	Brent Pipal	MS1-1670US	1651	
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 03/30/200 S.P.L.C.	EXAMINER			
421 W RIVERS	SIDE AVENUE SUIT	HOMAYOUNMEHR, FARID			
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2132			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	03/30/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 03/30/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/698,59	98	PIPAL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Farid Hom	ayounmehr	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the period for reply will be period for reply	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evo ation. y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communicat (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>31 October 200</u>	<u>3</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction a	rithdrawn from co			·			
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/698,598

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, 19-23, 29-32, drawn to resource access control by identifying and verifying the entity, process, or mechanism requesting access to the resource, classified in class 726, subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 10-18, 24-28, 33-36 drawn to program execution authorization by verification of program authenticity, classified in class 713, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because of the following reasons.

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because each invention has distinct limitations, and does not depend on the limitations of other groups to be patentable. Furthermore, the inventions have separate utilities such as:

Art Unit: 2132

Invention I has utility in access control and authorization systems.

Invention II has utility in program execution control, monitoring and condition assessment.

- 3. Restriction is proper due to the following reasons:
- 3.1 Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3.2 Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for any other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3.3 Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Based on the reasons mentioned above, the inventions are considered to be distinct.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/698,598

Art-Unit: 2132

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. An affirmed election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/698,598

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farid Homayounmehr whose telephone number is 571 272 3739. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hrs Mon-Fri, off Monday biweekly.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone number for the
organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published
applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status
information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For
more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you
have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business
Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Farid Homayounmehr

Examiner

Art Unit: 2132

Geniner DU 2132

Page 5